Volume 1 No.12 Rowing Biomechanics Newsletter December 2001

News

© Dear rowing coaches and rowers! This is the last



Newsletter of the year 2001. I wish you a Merry Christmas and may all your dreams for the New Year 2002 become true!

Facts. Did You Know That ...

 \checkmark ...the number of samples in the rowing biomechanics database in each rowers' category are the following:

| Men | M.Light | Men | M.Light | Women | W.Light | Women |
|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|
| Scull | Scull | Sweep | Sweep | Scull | Scull | Sweep |
| 519 | 161 | 1628 | 808 | 489 | 739 | 1707 |

Here is an analysis of force parameters based on the database.

 \checkmark ...**Maximal force** applied to the oar handle can be evaluated using the table

| Force Max.(N) | Very Low (Less than) | Low (Less than) | Average | High (More than) | Very High (More than) |
|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Men Scull | 593 | 680 | 766 | 853 | 940 |
| M.Light Scull | 579 | 636 | 692 | 749 | 805 |
| Men Sweep | 491 | 581 | 671 | 761 | 850 |
| M.Light Sweep | 467 | 528 | 590 | 652 | 714 |
| Women Scull | 394 | 471 | 547 | 624 | 701 |
| W.Light Scull | 355 | 416 | 477 | 538 | 599 |
| Women Sweep | 345 | 412 | 479 | 547 | 614 |

If you are not familiar with the Newton unit of force, then just put a dot in front of the last digit and you'll have the force in kilograms, eg.: $593 \text{ N} \sim 59.3 \text{ kgF}$.

✓ …Average force applied to the oar handle during the drive phase can be evaluated using the table:

| | 6 | | | | |
|----------------|----------|-----|---------|------|-----------|
| Force Aver.(N) | Very Low | Low | Average | High | Very High |
| Men Scull | 308 | 356 | 405 | 454 | 502 |
| M.Light Scull | 284 | 322 | 360 | 398 | 435 |
| Men Sweep | 242 | 286 | 331 | 376 | 421 |
| M.Light Sweep | 224 | 259 | 294 | 329 | 364 |
| Women Scull | 194 | 240 | 286 | 332 | 378 |
| W.Light Scull | 189 | 221 | 253 | 285 | 317 |
| Women Sweep | 169 | 203 | 238 | 273 | 307 |

 \checkmark ...Ratio of the average to maximal forces can be evaluated using the table:

| Aver / Max (%) | Very Low | Low | Average | High | Very High |
|----------------|----------|-------|---------|-------|-----------|
| Men Scull | 43.9% | 48.5% | 53.1% | 57.6% | 62.2% |
| M.Light Scull | 44.3% | 48.2% | 52.0% | 55.8% | 59.7% |
| Men Sweep | 40.7% | 45.2% | 49.6% | 54.1% | 58.6% |
| M.Light Sweep | 40.2% | 45.0% | 49.9% | 54.7% | 59.6% |
| Women Scull | 44.4% | 48.4% | 52.5% | 56.5% | 60.5% |

| W.Light Scull | 46.1% | 49.7% | 53.2% | 56.7% | 60.2% | ſ |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---|
| Women Sweep | 39.7% | 44.8% | 49.9% | 55.0% | 60.1% | |

Ratio of the average to maximal forces is an important parameter for evaluation of the force curve shape. If this parameter increases then the force curve becomes more rectangular. As we know from geometry, any rectangle has 100% of height-area ratio, and any triangle has 50%.

Ideas. What if ...

? ... you want to change a shape of your force curve? Then you need to know how segment sequence effects force application. The main rule is the following:

- A sequenced work of the legs and trunk (we also call it classical rowing style) produce higher maximal force and power, but the shape of the force curve is more triangular;



- Simultaneous work of the legs and trunk produce more rectangular shape of the force curve, but the peak force and power are lower.



80-85% of rowers use classical or similar rowing style and 15-20% are closer to simultaneous style.

References

1. Kleshnev V., 2000, Power in Rowing. XVIII Symposium of ISBS, Proceedings, Hong-Kong, p. 96-99.

Contact Us:

 ©2001 Dr. Valery Kleshnev, AIS/Biomechanics
POBox 176, Belconnen, ACT, 2616, Australia
tel. (w) 02 6214 1659, (m) 0413 223 290
fax: 02 6214 1593
e-mail: <u>kleshnevv@ausport.gov.au</u>